	Case 2:24-cv-00880-DAD-JDP	Document 19	Filed 01/30/25	Page 1 of 2
1				
2				
3				
4				
5				
6				
7				
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
10				
11	LATACHIANNA JAMES,	Ca	ase No. 2:24-cv-008	880-DAD-JDP (PS)
12	Plaintiff,		RDER ADOPTING	
13	v.	DI		ED STATES POSTAL
14	UNITED STATES POSTAL SER	VICE, et \overline{RI}	EMANDING THIS	ON TO DISMISS AND CASE AS TO THE
15	al., Defendants.		OURT	NDANTS TO STATE
16	Defendants.	(D	Ooc. Nos. 5, 18)	
17				
18	Plaintiff LaTachianna James commenced this case in the Solano County Superior Court,			
19	alleging tort claims against defendants United States Postal Service ("USPS"), Hall Equities			
20	Group, and Mark Hall in connection with damage to her vehicle. In this regard, plaintiff alleged			
21	that on January 20, 2024, her car was damaged when she hit a pothole while driving in Suisun			
22	City on a road between the Post Office and a shopping center owned or managed by defendants			
23	Hall Equities Group and Mark Hall. Defendant USPS removed the case to this federal court and			
24	then moved to dismiss the complaint for lack of jurisdiction. The matter was referred to a United			

On November 27, 2024, the magistrate judge issued findings and recommendations recommending that this action be dismissed for lack of jurisdiction due to plaintiff's failure to exhaust administrative remedies prior to filing suit as is required. (Doc. No. 18 at 3–4.) It was

States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.

1 also recommended that if that recommendation was adopted, the case as to the remaining 2 defendants be remanded back to state court. (*Id.* at 5.) 3 The pending findings and recommendations were served on the parties and contained 4 notice that any objections thereto were to be filed within fourteen (14) days after service. (Id.) 5 To date, no objections to the findings and recommendations have been filed, and the time in 6 which to do so has now passed. 7 In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C), this court has conducted a 8 de novo review of the case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the court concludes that the 9 findings and recommendations are supported by the record and by proper analysis. 10 Accordingly: 11 1. The findings and recommendations issued November 27, 2024 (Doc. No. 18), are 12 adopted; 13 2. Defendant USPS's motion to dismiss (Doc. No. 5), is granted; 14 3. This action is dismissed as to defendant USPS for lack of jurisdiction without leave to 15 amend due to plaintiff's failure to exhaust administrative remedies prior to filing suit as is 16 required; and 17 4. This case is remanded to the Solano County Superior Court as to plaintiff's claims 18 brought against defendants Hall Equities Group and Mark Hall. 19 IT IS SO ORDERED. 20 Dated: **January 29, 2025** 21 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE 22 23 24 25 26 27

Document 19

Filed 01/30/25

Page 2 of 2

Case 2:24-cv-00880-DAD-JDP

28